A Novel Redistribution Reaction of Compounds Containing a Tin-Molybdenum Bond

By T. Adrian George

(Department of Chemistry, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68508)

REDISTRIBUTION reactions (e.g. equation 1) are well-known for main-group organometallic compounds¹ but less well

$$SnMe_4 + SnCl_4 \rightarrow Me_3SnCl + MeSnCl_3$$
 (1)

documented for transition-metal organometallic compounds. I report the first example of a redistribution reaction involving a metal-metal bonded compound containing hetero-metal atoms [equation 2, where L = CO, PPh₃, PPhMe₂, P(OPh)₃, P(OCH₂)₃CMe, AsPh₃, SbPh₃].

$$2(C_{5}H_{5})Mo(CO)_{2} (L)SnMe_{3} \xrightarrow{200^{\circ}}$$
$$[(C_{5}H_{5})Mo(CO)_{2} (L)]_{2}SnMe_{2} + SnMe_{4}$$
(2)

The new compounds $trans-(C_5H_5)Mo(CO)_2(L)SnMe_3$ [where $L = PPh_3$, PPhMe₂, P(OPh)₃, P(OCH₂)₃CMe, Compound (I) was prepared either by heating $(C_5H_5)Mo(CO)_2(PPh_3) SnMe_3 at 200^{\circ}$ for 5 h or by heating PPh₃ and $(C_5H_5)Mo(CO)_3SnMe_3$ together at 200° in the absence of solvent. Tetramethyltin was isolated and identified by its mass spectrum. Compound (I) was synthesised independently from $[(C_5H_5)Mo(CO)_3]_2SnMe_2$ and Ph₃P.

Attempts to convert the other trimethyltin derivatives into dimethyltin derivatives at about 200° proved successful only for $L = P(OCH_2)_3CMe$. However, in all cases, even when only decomposition products resulted, tetramethyltin was always liberated, suggesting that the dimethyltin derivative is formed by elimination of tetramethyltin but that the resulting dimethyltin derivative decomposes spontaneously at the temperature of redistribution.

The mechanism of redistribution can best be rationalised in terms of a bimolecular process proceeding through a four-centre activated complex. The absence of products such as hexamethylditin argues against a random bondmaking, bond-breaking process. In the mass spectrum of each of the trimethyltin derivatives by far the most abundant tin-containing moiety occurs at M - 15, where Mis the parent ion, due to loss of one methyl group.

TABLE I

I.r. spectra (2000–1700 cm⁻¹) and ¹H n.m.r. spectra (C_5H_5)

(Compou	ınd						
$(C_5H_5)Mo(CO)_2(L)SnMe_3$				$\nu(CO)^{a}$		$\tau^{b}C_{5}H_{5}[J^{c}(P-C_{3}H_{5})]$		
	L	. ,	-					
PPh ₃		••		1901(0.55)	1833(1.0)	5.21	L(1·0)	
PPhMe,		••		1894(0.54)	1825(1.0)	$5 \cdot 2(1 \cdot 0)$		
P(OPh)		• •		1918(0.52)	1853(1.0)	5.42(0.8)		
P(OCH,)	_a CMe			1929(0.56)	1862(1.0)	4.95(0.8)		
AsPh _a	• • •			1902(0.53)	1835(1.0)	5.13		
SbPh,				1902(0.46)	1837(1.0)	5.02		
$[(C_5H_5)M$	o(CO) ₂	(L)] ₂ Snl	Me ₂					
	L							
PPh,				1896(0.44)	1844(0.25)	1820(1·0) ^d	4·95(0·8)e	
P(OCH.).	CMe			1918` ´	1898` ′	1834 ^è ′	, /	

^a CCl₄ solution unless stated otherwise; cm⁻¹ (relative peak height). ^b Relative to SiMe₄. CDCl₃ solution unless stated otherwise. ^c Hz. ^d CH₂Cl₂ solution. ^e 2:1 CCl₂CCl₂: CH₂Cl₂ mixture. ^t Nujol mull.

AsPh₃, SbPh₃] were prepared either by reaction of the ligand with the unsubstituted precursor $(C_5H_5)Mo(CO)_3$ -SnMe₃ in refluxing diglyme or by treating the sodium salt of $[(C_5H_5)Mo(CO)_2(L)]^-$ with Me₃SnCl in tetrahydrofuran at room temperature. The former method was successful only for L = PPh₃ while all other derivatives were prepared by the latter method. The stereochemistry was inferred from their i.r. and ¹H n.m.r. spectra (see Table) by comparison with similar compounds.²

All the ligand derivatives $(C_5H_5)Mo(CO)_2(L)SnMe_3$ are more stable to air than $(C_5H_5)Mo(CO)_3SnMe_3$ except when $L = P(OPh)_3$ which is less stable. $[(C_5H_5)Mo(CO)_2-(PPh_3)]_2SnMe_2$ is unaffected by air for at least 1 year whereas $[(C_5H_5)Mo(CO)_3]_2SnMe_2$ decomposed rapidly in air.

I thank the University of Nebraska Research Council for financial support.

(Received, September 30th, 1970; Com. 1685.)

† T. A. George, Abstracts 160th American Chemical Society Meeting, Chicago, 1970, Inorg. 116.

¹ K. Moedritzer, Adv. Organometallic Chem., 1968, 6, 171.

² A. R. Manning, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1967, 1984; 1968, 651.